# NORTHERN IRELAND HOUSEHOLD PROJECTIONS (2012 BASED) - METHODOLOGY 

## 1. Introduction

The 2012-based household projections for Northern Ireland, published in March 2015, are an update of the 2008-based household projections. The methodology remained largely unchanged; however, it uses available information from the 2011 Census and the most recent 2012-based population projections. This document describes the methodology used in the 2012-based household projections. If you have any further questions on the methodology you can contact us at: dmb.nisra@dfpni.gov.uk.

## 2. 2012-based Population Projections used in Household Projections

Northern Ireland level 2012-based population projections were published in November 2013 and 2012-based population projections for areas within Northern Ireland in October 2014. The latest population projections replace the 2008-based population projections which were the basis for the 2008-based household projections.

In the latest publication, the population projection period has been extended to 2037 for Northern Ireland and large areas within Northern Ireland. For the current 26 Local Government Districts (LGDs), the projection period is 15 years (2012-2027). Assumptions underlying the 2012-based population projections are based on recent demographic trends, full details are available at:
http://www.nisra.gov.uk/archive/demography/population/projections//gd/SNPP12 Assumptions.pdf

## 3. Age-Sex Groups used in Household Projections

The methodology used for household projections consisted of applying age-sex specific household membership probabilities to the population projections. As part of the development of the 2006-based household projections, further analysis had been carried out on the 2001 Census to refine age-sex bands so that they are as homogenous as possible in household membership probabilities. As a result, the 2006based household projections use 28 age-sex groups; the relevant age-bands were:

- Children: 0-3 and 4-15 years;
- Working age: 16-18, 19-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, and 60-64;
- Older population: 65-74, 75-84 and 85 years and over.

The analysis that led to this has been repeated with the 2011 Census (see Annex A for full details). It was found that these age groups are still homogenous and at most, the
age groups 30-34 and 35-44 could be collapsed into one. The age bands are kept unchanged to allow comparability with previous household projections.

Annex B presents the relevant age-sex specific household membership probabilities from the 2001 and 2011 Censuses at the Northern Ireland level. Equivalent proportions have been derived for each area within Northern Ireland, and used in the household projections model.

## 4. Communal Establishment Population

The projected household population is derived by subtracting the projected population living in communal establishments (e.g. army barracks, prisons, students' halls of residence, and nursing homes) from the published population projections:

| Population |
| :--- |
| Projections | | Communal |
| :--- |
| Population |$=$| Household |
| :--- |
| Population |

Counts of the population, by age and sex, living in communal establishments are taken from the latest Census data and used to calculate age-sex specific proportions of the population living in communal establishments. In previous household projections, 2001 Census data were used. These proportions were kept constant for each year projected into the future. This assumes an identical rate of population change for both household and communal population for a specific age-sex group. It should be noted that the assumption of a constant proportion of the population by age-sex group living in communal establishments takes no account of possible future changes in relevant policies, such as that relating to care of the elderly.

For the 2012-based household projections, the assumption of constant proportions of people living in communal establishments was re-assessed with the availability of 2011 Census data. It was found that these proportions for the older population have been falling over the last 20 years. A consultation paper was written and reviewed by the household projections steering group and those involved with household projection in Great Britain. There was general consensus of the proposal to (1) use constant 2011 Census proportions for the population aged under 75 years, and (2) to use the average of constant 2011 Census proportion and a 2001-2011 trended ${ }^{1}$ proportion based on Census data.

Table 1 shows the proportion of the population living in communal establishments by age and sex for those aged under 75, as obtained from the 2011 Census. The proportions are highest at student ages (19-24 years), mainly due to the population

[^0]residing in students' halls of residence. In general, the proportions are higher for males as they are much more common in army barracks and prisons. From age 45 onwards, the proportions are slowly increasing, with the vast majority of communal residents in medical and care establishments.

Table 1: Percentage of population living in communal establishments by age and sex (2011 Census)

| Age group | Males | Females |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $0-3$ | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| $4-15$ | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $16-18$ | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| $19-24$ | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| $25-29$ | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| $30-34$ | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| $35-44$ | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| $45-49$ | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| $50-54$ | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| $55-59$ | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| $60-64$ | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| $65-74$ | 1.1 | 1.2 |
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Table 2 shows the proportion of the population in communal establishments by age and sex for those aged 75 and over, according to the last three Censuses and projected for 2021 and 2037.

Table 2: Percentage of population living in communal establishments, by age and sex (1991-2011 Census and projected for 2021 and 2037)

|  | Census |  |  | Projected |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sex/Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males aged 75-79 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Males aged 80-84 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| Males aged 85-89 | 17.0 | 13.4 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 7.1 |
| Males aged 90+ | 27.3 | 23.5 | 18.9 | 17.1 | 14.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females aged 75-79 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Females aged 80-84 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
| Females aged 85-89 | 26.3 | 21.5 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 12.8 |
| Females aged 90+ | 41.3 | 39.0 | 32.5 | 29.8 | 26.4 |
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## 5. Household membership probabilities projection method - Two-point exponential model

The two-point exponential model, based on the 1991 and 2001 Censuses household propensities, emerged as the most robust projections technique in the 2002-based household projections. This model is also used for the 2012-based household projections, but now using 2001 and 2011 Census data. There are several reasons for using this method.

Firstly, the two-point exponential model constrains the projections by slowing down the trend as probabilities approach 0 or 1 and is therefore more in keeping with reality than a linear regression model which would allow projected negative or non-unitary probabilities. Secondly, the use of 2001 and 2011 Census data ensures that projections are based on the latest household formation trends. Thirdly, the use of household propensities takes account of all household members and avoids the older male bias that is inherent in the traditional 'headship' method.

The formula for the two point exponential model is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{i}=1+\left(p_{2001}-1\right) \cdot\left(\frac{p_{2011}-1}{p_{2001}-1}\right)^{\frac{i-2001}{10}} & \text { for } p_{2011}>=p_{2001} \\
p_{i}=p_{2001} \cdot\left[\frac{p_{2011}}{p_{2001}}\right]^{\frac{i-2001}{10}} & \text { for } p_{2011}<p_{2001}
\end{array}
$$

where $\quad i$ is the projection year (2012, 2013, ....); and $p_{i}$ is the household membership probability in year $i$.

Whilst the two-point exponential model uses two data points (namely the 2001 and 2011 Census data) on which to model household membership probabilities, the results should be no less reliable than those obtained using more data points taken from social survey data (which would contain sampling errors not present with Census data). The Continuous Household Survey, for example, provides a time series of data points, but each data point is subject to sampling variation as shown in Figure 1 below. This variability is yet more pronounced when the Continuous Household Survey is used in sub-groups of the population such as the household types noted in this document. Accordingly, while models could be fitted using Continuous Household Survey time series data, the data would not be able to discriminate easily between competing models. The chosen model, the two-point exponential, has been selected on the basis that it is an excellent mathematical representation of what could reasonably be extrapolated to happen in the future. It is reassuring to note, that the overall trends evident from Continuous Household Survey and 1991 and 2011 Censuses are broadly consistent.

Figure 1: Average household size, 2012-based household projections and observed from Continuous Household Survey, 1991-2013 (non-zero y-axis)
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## 6. Households with Children

The 2002-based projected household membership probabilities for children tended towards children living in smaller households and more lone adult with children households in the future. This was based on the trend between the 1991 and 2001 Censuses. Recent demographic evidence suggests that these trends have not continued post the 2001 Census. For example, the number of divorces has been stable over recent years; the number of births registered by the mother alone has been constant since the late 1980s, and the distribution of parity of births has changed only for parities of 4 or more.

Therefore, for the 2006-based household projections, the household membership probabilities for persons aged 15 and under are kept at their 2001 Census values. To complete households with children, adults were added to match the number of children according to the age-sex specific proportion within a particular household type (see Annex C for further details). For example, say 100 children are projected to reside in a household type defined as "two adults plus one child", then these 100 children are matched with (roughly) 100 adult females and 100 adult males with a distribution of ages that corresponds with the age distribution of adults in that household type
according to the 2001 Census $^{2}$. After the allocation of adults to complete households with children, the remaining adults are then distributed over childless household types using their projected household membership probabilities.

For the 2012-based household projections, it was investigated whether this change in the methodology was still required when replacing the 1991-2001 trend with that based on the 2001 and 2011 Census. It was found that, although this issue became less prominent, it was still required to retain the integrity of the projection of households with children.

## 7. Older two-adult households

The 2006-based household projections also introduced a correction factor for the projected number of females in two-adult households. There is a continued significant improvement in mortality rates, which lead to larger projected populations aged 75 and over. The relative growth in this age group is larger for males, even though the number of females continues to remain higher than males. Applying the 1991-2001-based projected household membership probabilities to this age group leads to the projected number of males in two-adult households being higher than the number of females. This result is unlikely to continue beyond parity between males and females. Therefore the number of females aged 75 and over in two-adult households is projected relative to the number of males in such households. The number of females aged 75 and over in one-person households was used to source the additional females needed to create 2-adult households.

For the 2012-based household projections, it was investigated whether this change in the methodology was still required when replacing the 1991-2001 trend with that based on the 2001 and 2011 Census. It was found that, although this issue became less prominent, it was still required to retain the integrity of the two-adult household projections.

Table 3 presents age-sex-specific probabilities of forming a two-adult household with a female aged (a) 75 to 84 years, and (b) 85 years and over. The probabilities are applied to the number of persons in each age-sex group who form a two-adult household and aggregated to give the number of females of those age groups in two-adult households. For example, for every male aged 85 and over who is projected to live in a two-adult household, there will be 0.274 females aged 85 and over in two-adult households.

[^1]
## Table 3: Age-sex specific probabilities of sharing a two-adult household with a female aged 75+

| Age-sex group | Female, 75-84 | Female, 85+ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Male, 25-29 | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| Male, 30-34 | 0.006 | 0.001 |
| Male, 35-44 | 0.042 | 0.004 |
| Male, $45-49$ | 0.088 | 0.019 |
| Male, 50-54 | 0.057 | 0.026 |
| Male, 55-59 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| Male, 60-64 | 0.007 | 0.012 |
| Male, 65-74 | 0.040 | 0.004 |
| Male, $75-84$ | 0.493 | 0.021 |
| Male, 85+ | 0.473 | 0.274 |
| Female, 25-29 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| Female, $30-34$ | 0.006 | 0.001 |
| Female, 35-44 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| Female, 45-49 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| Female, $50-54$ | 0.004 | 0.001 |
| Female, 55-59 | 0.028 | 0.004 |
| Female, $60-64$ | 0.044 | 0.010 |
| Female, $65-74$ | 0.025 | 0.013 |
| Female, $75-84$ |  | 0.013 |
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## 8. Projections for areas within Northern Ireland

The same methodology has been used to create household projections for each Local Government District (LGD) using the associated 2012-based population projections and LGD-specific 2001 and 2011 Census tables on communal establishment population probabilities, household membership probabilities and age-sex structure of adults in households with children. The adjustment for elderly couples is based on Northern Ireland level data, as the number of couple households with females aged 75 and over is too small at LGD level to obtain reliable ratios.

Adjustments were made to remove any discrepancies between the aggregated LGD-level and Northern Ireland household projections for each household type and year (see Annex D). These adjustments made negligible difference to the projected total number of households. This is a net result of small levels of scaling up or down of household projections by size and type.

## 9. Comparison with household projections across the UK

Household projections are produced separately for each of the countries within the UK. At the time of writing, the latest household projections are:

- England: 2012 based, published in February 2015;
- Scotland: 2012-based, published in July 2014; and
- Wales: 2011-based, published in February 2014.

Table 4 below shows some results of the most recent population and household projections in each country. Northern Ireland has the lowest projected increase in the number of households, despite a population that was projected to grow faster than both Scotland and Wales. One of the explanations for this is that projected population growth in Northern Ireland was largely driven by natural change (i.e. an excess of births over deaths) rather than net inward migration, which was the main driver in other UK countries.

Table 4: Population and household projections by UK country

|  | England | Northern Ireland | Scotland | Wales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 53,494 | 1,824 | 5,314 | 3,074 |
| 2022 | 57,338 | 1,918 | 5,520 | 3,193 |
| 2032 | 60,724 | 1,985 | 5,714 | 3,291 |
| 2012-22 change (\%) | 7.2 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| 2012-32 change (\%) | 13.5 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| Household projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 22,305 | 709 | 2,387 | 1,313 |
| 2022 | 24,505 | 753 | 2,565 | 1,403 |
| 2032 | 26,605 | 797 | 2,717 | 1,473 |
| 2012-22 change (\%) | 9.9 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
| 2012-32 change (\%) | 19.3 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 12.2 |
| Average household size |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 2.36 | 2.54 | 2.18 | 2.30 |
| 2022 | 2.30 | 2.52 | 2.11 | 2.25 |
| 2032 | 2.24 | 2.45 | 2.06 | 2.20 |
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## 10. Sensitivity Analysis - Impact of additional persons

The sensitivity of the methodology was tested by examining the impact on the projected number of households when adding 1,000 people to the population (see Table 5).

Table 5: Additional households generated by additional 1,000 persons, by age and sex, 2012 and 2032

|  | Additional households when <br> adding 1,000 persons (2012) |  | Additional households when <br> adding 1,000 persons (2032) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age band | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Aged 0-3 | 0 | 1 | -3 | -3 |
| Aged 4-15 | -35 | -34 | -40 | -39 |
| Aged 16-18 | 305 | 304 | 311 | 307 |
| Aged 19-24 | 323 | 336 | 308 | 317 |
| Aged 25-29 | 424 | 450 | 373 | 411 |
| Aged 30-34 | 515 | 547 | 460 | 531 |
| Aged 35-44 | 586 | 547 | 594 | 582 |
| Aged 45-49 | 518 | 453 | 561 | 475 |
| Aged 50-54 | 476 | 456 | 501 | 464 |
| Aged 55-59 | 484 | 489 | 497 | 484 |
| Aged 60-64 | 513 | 536 | 526 | 524 |
| Aged 65-74 | 531 | 597 | 534 | 550 |
| Aged 75-84 | 411 | 894 | 348 | 894 |
| Aged 85+ | 391 | 626 | 358 | 683 |
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It shows that, when adding 1,000 children aged 0 to 3 years, there is a negligible impact on the number of households. This suggests that the added children are absorbed within existing households. When adding 1,000 children aged 4 to 15 years, the number of households falls slightly as a result of adults being sourced from childless households (including one-person households) to complete household types with children.

It is important to note additional adults aged 16 and over will be distributed over childless household types, as adults to complete households with children have already been allocated (see Section 6). The differences reflect the average size of childless households that people of these ages reside in. Broadly speaking, the average size of a childless household falls from 3 people for those aged 16 to 24 years, to 2 people for those aged 30 to 74 years. In other words, one household is being created for every additional two adults aged 30 to 74 years. There are relatively small differences in the findings between males and females aged under 75 .

For males aged 75 and over, the increasing proportion of the population in communal establishments reduces the number of additional households created for every 1,000 added males. However, roughly twice as many households are created for each added female compared to added males in that age group. This is a direct result of the adjustment made in the model for elderly couples (see Section 7). This means that, in effect, an additional 1,000 females aged 75 and over will be allocated to either communal establishments, single adult households or 3+ adult households.

## 11. Sensitivity Analysis - comparison of 2012-based and 2008-based household projections

A further comparison has been made between the 2012-based and the previously published 2008-based household projections, which differ as a result of both the updated population projections and the changes made to the methodology. Figure 2 plots the two series of household projections for Northern Ireland.

Figure 2: 2008-based and 2012-based household projections, Northern Ireland, 1991-2037 (non-zero y-axis)
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Table 6 provides a breakdown of the differences for several years. The main driving force behind the 2012-based projections being lower than the 2008-based projections was the change from the 1991-2001 to the 2001-2011 trend for adults in households without children. Initially, the change from 2001 to 2011 household propensities for
children was the second biggest driver, but this was overtaken from 2016 and 2023 onwards by the population size and structure respectively.

Table 6: Breakdown of difference between 2008-based and 2012-based household projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2008-based projections | 724,000 | 802,300 | 874,200 |
| 2012-based projections | 709,000 | 753,800 | 797,400 |
| Difference | $\mathbf{- 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 8 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 7 6 , 8 0 0}$ |
| Population size | $-1,400$ | $-7,500$ | $-11,200$ |
| Population structure | 1,200 | $-4,800$ | $-7,300$ |
| 2011 propensity for children | 5,100 | 5,100 | 5,400 |
| 2011 Household structure (with children) | $-1,100$ | $-1,700$ | $-2,100$ |
| 2001-2011 trend for adults | $-20,200$ | $-42,200$ | $-64,400$ |
| 2011 older couple adjustment | 1,000 | 300 | -800 |
| Communal proportion | 500 | 1,400 | 3,700 |
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Annex F shows the difference between the 2008-based and 2012-based household projections for the current 26 Local Government Districts ${ }^{3}$. In the year 2012, only for Armagh, Belfast and Strabane Local Government Districts are the 2012-based projections higher than the 2008-based household projections. However, from 2016 onwards the projected number of households in the 2012-based projections is lower than those in the 2008-based projections for each Local Government District. Over time, the difference between the two sets of projections is widening.

The observed difference in household projections is not unique to Northern Ireland. Table 7 shows the difference between the 2008-based and 2012-based population and household projections for each UK country.

[^2]Table 7: Comparison of 2008-based and 2012-based population and household projections by UK country

|  | England | Northern <br> Ireland | Scotland | Wales |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population (2021) |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-based | 56,433 | 1,927 | 5,411 | 3,187 |
| 2012-based | 56,962 | 1,910 | 5,497 | 3,181 |
| Percentage difference | 0.9 | -0.9 | 1.6 | -0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Households (2021) |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-based | 24,843 | 794 | 2,608 | 1,482 |
| 2012-based | 24,290 | 748 | 2,548 | 1,394 |
| Percentage difference | -2.2 | -5.8 | -2.3 | -5.9 |
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The relative difference in household projections for Northern Ireland and Wales was similar, with 2012-based household projections being 6 per cent lower than the 2008-based projections for 2021. England and Scotland have also similar differences, but unlike Wales and Northern Ireland, their 2012-based population projections were higher than the 2008-based population projections.
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## Annex A Chi-square test for homogeneity of household membership probabilities, by age and sex

For each pair of single ages, a chi-square statistic is calculated on the differences between the household membership probabilities as derived from the 2011 Census (see figures below). Note that these findings are only valid for the chosen number and definition of household types. Shading has been applied to highlight the pairs that are most homogenous (dark grey).

It is obvious that pairs of close ages are most homogenous. The age bands used in the 2002-based household projections are marked with red borders. Four of these age bands (0-15, 16-24, 45-54 and 75+) were split for the 2006-based household projections and are marked with dotted red lines. It is clear that this split is still valid for the 2011 Census as it was for the 2001 Census.

Figure A.1. Chi-square statistics by age, males, household membership probabilities 2011 Census


Figure A.2. Chi-square statistics by age, females, household membership probabilities 2011 Census


Table B.1. 2001 Household Membership Probabilities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males Aged 0-3 | - | - | 0.070 | - | 0.191 | 0.061 | - | 0.306 | 0.030 | - | 0.185 | 0.013 | - | 0.092 | 0.007 | - | 0.044 | 0.002 |
| Males Aged 4-15 | - | - | 0.039 | - | 0.066 | 0.063 | - | 0.281 | 0.040 | - | 0.257 | 0.017 | - | 0.149 | 0.007 | - | 0.077 | 0.003 |
| Males Aged 16-18 | 0.005 | 0.052 | 0.000 | 0.137 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.138 | 0.151 | 0.000 | 0.061 | 0.184 | 0.000 | 0.023 | 0.131 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.077 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 19-24 | 0.046 | 0.113 | 0.001 | 0.187 | 0.032 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.058 | 0.000 | 0.102 | 0.087 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.072 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.050 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 25-29 | 0.126 | 0.252 | 0.002 | 0.161 | 0.100 | 0.001 | 0.116 | 0.079 | 0.000 | 0.053 | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.023 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 30-34 | 0.142 | 0.208 | 0.004 | 0.092 | 0.141 | 0.002 | 0.049 | 0.201 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.091 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.032 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 35-44 | 0.117 | 0.136 | 0.005 | 0.068 | 0.092 | 0.003 | 0.034 | 0.254 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.168 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.080 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 45-49 | 0.120 | 0.158 | 0.004 | 0.121 | 0.063 | 0.002 | 0.093 | 0.163 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 0.132 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.032 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 50-54 | 0.125 | 0.243 | 0.003 | 0.195 | 0.045 | 0.001 | 0.120 | 0.087 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 0.067 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 55-59 | 0.134 | 0.377 | 0.001 | 0.218 | 0.023 | 0.000 | 0.107 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.009 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 60-64 | 0.149 | 0.494 | 0.001 | 0.198 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 0.016 | 0.000 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 65-74 | 0.185 | 0.561 | 0.001 | 0.157 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.046 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 75-84 | 0.273 | 0.544 | 0.001 | 0.114 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 85+ | 0.397 | 0.424 | 0.001 | 0.095 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 0-3 | - | - | 0.068 | - | 0.196 | 0.059 | - | 0.303 | 0.031 | - | 0.186 | 0.013 | - | 0.089 | 0.006 | - | 0.046 | 0.002 |
| Females Aged 4-15 | - | - | 0.040 | - | 0.066 | 0.063 | - | 0.283 | 0.040 | - | 0.253 | 0.017 | - | 0.151 | 0.008 | - | 0.077 | 0.002 |
| Females Aged 16-18 | 0.007 | 0.052 | 0.009 | 0.129 | 0.037 | 0.001 | 0.137 | 0.150 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.187 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.129 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.079 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 19-24 | 0.037 | 0.144 | 0.066 | 0.141 | 0.058 | 0.021 | 0.165 | 0.063 | 0.004 | 0.082 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.041 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 25-29 | 0.074 | 0.246 | 0.061 | 0.089 | 0.131 | 0.043 | 0.069 | 0.120 | 0.016 | 0.033 | 0.054 | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.025 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 30-34 | 0.071 | 0.152 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.139 | 0.053 | 0.022 | 0.236 | 0.027 | 0.008 | 0.118 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.046 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| Females Aged 35-44 | 0.061 | 0.109 | 0.031 | 0.056 | 0.092 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 0.252 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.179 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.087 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 45-49 | 0.080 | 0.182 | 0.019 | 0.154 | 0.068 | 0.007 | 0.110 | 0.137 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.105 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 0.056 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 50-54 | 0.107 | 0.302 | 0.009 | 0.219 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.122 | 0.057 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 55-59 | 0.144 | 0.433 | 0.003 | 0.222 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.094 | 0.018 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 60-64 | 0.197 | 0.515 | 0.001 | 0.174 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 65-74 | 0.336 | 0.481 | 0.001 | 0.116 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 75-84 | 0.529 | 0.343 | 0.001 | 0.072 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 85+ | 0.618 | 0.228 | 0.001 | 0.077 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.025 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
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Table B.2. 2011 Census Household Membership Probabilities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males Aged 0-3 | - | - | 0.085 | - | 0.192 | 0.070 | - | 0.311 | 0.035 | - | 0.176 | 0.014 | - | 0.075 | 0.004 | - | 0.037 | 0.002 |
| Males Aged 4-15 | - | - | 0.057 | - | 0.079 | 0.071 | - | 0.301 | 0.041 | - | 0.251 | 0.017 | - | 0.124 | 0.005 | - | 0.052 | 0.002 |
| Males Aged 16-18 | 0.005 | 0.068 | 0.000 | 0.153 | 0.045 | 0.000 | 0.159 | 0.168 | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.169 | 0.000 | 0.023 | 0.095 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.045 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 19-24 | 0.035 | 0.122 | 0.001 | 0.200 | 0.030 | 0.000 | 0.227 | 0.058 | 0.000 | 0.111 | 0.079 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.053 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 25-29 | 0.096 | 0.253 | 0.003 | 0.179 | 0.079 | 0.001 | 0.148 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.035 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 30-34 | 0.124 | 0.238 | 0.005 | 0.111 | 0.144 | 0.002 | 0.067 | 0.162 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 35-44 | 0.140 | 0.158 | 0.007 | 0.079 | 0.103 | 0.003 | 0.038 | 0.240 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 0.143 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 45-49 | 0.148 | 0.164 | 0.006 | 0.115 | 0.062 | 0.002 | 0.091 | 0.177 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.123 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.053 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 50-54 | 0.155 | 0.219 | 0.005 | 0.178 | 0.041 | 0.001 | 0.135 | 0.089 | 0.000 | 0.049 | 0.063 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 55-59 | 0.161 | 0.332 | 0.003 | 0.218 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.122 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.026 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 60-64 | 0.168 | 0.466 | 0.003 | 0.198 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 65-74 | 0.180 | 0.582 | 0.002 | 0.149 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 75-84 | 0.247 | 0.583 | 0.002 | 0.110 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Males Aged 85+ | 0.385 | 0.465 | 0.002 | 0.090 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 0-3 | - | - | 0.086 | - | 0.190 | 0.070 | - | 0.312 | 0.038 | - | 0.174 | 0.013 | - | 0.075 | 0.005 | - | 0.036 | 0.002 |
| Females Aged 4-15 | - | - | 0.059 | - | 0.081 | 0.071 | - | 0.302 | 0.040 | - | 0.251 | 0.016 | - | 0.121 | 0.006 | - | 0.052 | 0.002 |
| Females Aged 16-18 | 0.005 | 0.067 | 0.006 | 0.145 | 0.051 | 0.001 | 0.154 | 0.167 | 0.000 | 0.065 | 0.171 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.096 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.045 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 19-24 | 0.033 | 0.137 | 0.067 | 0.161 | 0.049 | 0.019 | 0.180 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.095 | 0.071 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.048 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 25-29 | 0.065 | 0.241 | 0.079 | 0.102 | 0.115 | 0.048 | 0.085 | 0.096 | 0.018 | 0.041 | 0.046 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.024 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 30-34 | 0.079 | 0.180 | 0.058 | 0.050 | 0.153 | 0.051 | 0.029 | 0.205 | 0.026 | 0.012 | 0.091 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.001 |
| Females Aged 35-44 | 0.075 | 0.123 | 0.041 | 0.058 | 0.108 | 0.032 | 0.033 | 0.256 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.160 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.062 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 45-49 | 0.090 | 0.171 | 0.025 | 0.141 | 0.075 | 0.011 | 0.113 | 0.155 | 0.003 | 0.037 | 0.103 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.046 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 50-54 | 0.123 | 0.274 | 0.013 | 0.211 | 0.039 | 0.003 | 0.138 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 55-59 | 0.154 | 0.399 | 0.004 | 0.223 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.111 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 60-64 | 0.189 | 0.509 | 0.002 | 0.181 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 65-74 | 0.274 | 0.539 | 0.002 | 0.120 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 75-84 | 0.475 | 0.397 | 0.003 | 0.076 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Females Aged 85+ | 0.617 | 0.253 | 0.004 | 0.069 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
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The table below presents the ratios of adults per person aged under 16 by household type and age-sex of the adult, as derived from the 2011 Census. For example, for every person aged under 16 in a two-person household there are 0.217 females aged 35-44 years. Similarly, for every person aged under 16 in a four-person household with children (2+ adults) there are 0.258 males aged 35-44 years.

Note that the column total do not add up to the expected figures, as the number of adults is unknown in household types with 2+ adults and some persons aged 16-17 are not in full-time education and thus classified as adults.

Table C.1. Age-sex distribution of household members, by household type (2011 Census)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males 16-18 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.000 | 0.088 | 0.000 | 0.097 | 0.000 |
| Males 19-24 | 0.003 | 0.054 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 0.000 | 0.095 | 0.000 | 0.122 | 0.000 |
| Males 25-29 | 0.008 | 0.114 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.000 |
| Males 30-34 | 0.011 | 0.202 | 0.004 | 0.081 | 0.002 | 0.046 | 0.002 | 0.034 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.000 |
| Males 35-44 | 0.034 | 0.307 | 0.015 | 0.258 | 0.008 | 0.203 | 0.011 | 0.159 | 0.004 | 0.128 | 0.002 |
| Males 45-49 | 0.015 | 0.097 | 0.005 | 0.099 | 0.002 | 0.091 | 0.002 | 0.082 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.000 |
| Males 50-54 | 0.012 | 0.057 | 0.002 | 0.044 | 0.001 | 0.041 | 0.001 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.002 |
| Males 55-59 | 0.006 | 0.026 | 0.001 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 |
| Males 60-64 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.000 |
| Males 65-74 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.000 |
| Males 75-84 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Males 85+ | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| Females 16-18 | 0.010 | 0.045 | 0.001 | 0.054 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 0.000 | 0.086 | 0.000 | 0.092 | 0.000 |
| Females 19-24 | 0.197 | 0.086 | 0.050 | 0.039 | 0.020 | 0.058 | 0.007 | 0.083 | 0.004 | 0.109 | 0.000 |
| Females 25-29 | 0.201 | 0.175 | 0.114 | 0.053 | 0.075 | 0.033 | 0.048 | 0.037 | 0.033 | 0.046 | 0.023 |
| Females 30-34 | 0.146 | 0.227 | 0.118 | 0.110 | 0.105 | 0.064 | 0.090 | 0.048 | 0.091 | 0.046 | 0.086 |
| Females 35-44 | 0.217 | 0.338 | 0.153 | 0.287 | 0.104 | 0.236 | 0.082 | 0.193 | 0.060 | 0.154 | 0.045 |
| Females 45-49 | 0.067 | 0.121 | 0.027 | 0.089 | 0.011 | 0.078 | 0.007 | 0.073 | 0.006 | 0.066 | 0.003 |
| Females 50-54 | 0.031 | 0.056 | 0.006 | 0.030 | 0.001 | 0.028 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.032 | 0.000 |
| Females 55-59 | 0.009 | 0.015 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| Females 60-64 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.000 |
| Females 65-74 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.000 |
| Females 75-84 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| Females 85+ | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.00 | 0.004 | 0.000 |

[^3]
## Annex D Adjustment for discrepancies between aggregate LGD and Northern Ireland projections, by type and year

The coefficients in the table below are multiplied by the LGD-level household projections by household type and year. For example, the projected number of two-person (one child and one adult) households in 2012 from each LGD model is multiplied by 0.999 so that the aggregate number of all LGDs equals the Northern Ireland projection of two-person (one child and one adult) households in 2012.

Table D.1. Adjustments for discrepancies between aggregate LGD-level and Northern Ireland projections, by size/type and projection year

| Household Type | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 person | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 |
| 2 person (no children) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 |
| 2 person (1 adult + 1 child) | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.998 |
| 3 person (no children) | 1.000 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.003 | 1.003 |
| 3 person ( 2 adults +1 child) | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 |
| 3 person (1 adult +2 children) | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.997 | 0.998 |
| 4 person (no children) | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| 4 person (2+ adults + $1+$ children) | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.003 | 1.003 | 1.003 | 1.003 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 | 1.004 |
| 4 person (1 adult +3 children) | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.995 | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.994 | 0.994 |
| 5 person (no children) | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.994 | 0.994 |
| 5 person (2+ adults + 1+ children) | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.000 |
| 5 person (1 adult +4 children) | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 |
| 6 person (no children) | 0.999 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.995 | 0.992 | 0.989 | 0.986 | 0.983 | 0.978 | 0.975 |
| 6 person (2+ adults + 1+ children) | 1.001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 |
| 6 person (1 adult +5 children) | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.994 | 0.994 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.994 |
| 7+ person (no children) | 0.999 | 0.996 | 0.993 | 0.990 | 0.989 | 0.987 | 0.985 | 0.984 | 0.981 | 0.978 | 0.975 | 0.971 | 0.966 | 0.961 | 0.956 | 0.950 |
| 7+ person (2+ adults + $1+$ children) | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 |
| 7+ person (1 adult $+6+$ children) | 0.991 | 0.991 | 0.991 | 0.991 | 0.990 | 0.990 | 0.989 | 0.989 | 0.988 | 0.988 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.987 |
| All Households | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
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Table D. 2 Adjustment for discrepancies between aggregate LGD-level and Northern Ireland projections, by size, type and projection year

| Household | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 person household | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 |
| 2 person household | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 |
| 3 person household | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 |
| 4 person household | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 |
| 5+ person household | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 |
| One adult without children | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.997 |
| Two adults without children | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 |
| Other households without children | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| One adult with children | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.995 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.997 | 0.998 |
| Other households with children | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 |
| All Households | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
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Annex E Comparison of projected household change (2012-2022) between the 2008-based and 2012-based household projections, by Local Government District

| Local Government District | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-based } \\ \text { household } \\ \text { projections (‘000) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012-based } \\ \text { household } \\ \text { projections (‘ } 000 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Difference ('000) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2022 | 2012 | 2022 | 2012 | 2022 |
| Antrim | 20.9 | 24.2 | 20.2 | 21.8 | -0.7 | -2.3 |
| Ards | 33.2 | 36.3 | 31.7 | 33.2 | -1.5 | -3.1 |
| Armagh | 21.5 | 24.1 | 21.8 | 23.6 | 0.3 | -0.5 |
| Ballymena | 25.7 | 28.3 | 25.1 | 26.6 | -0.7 | -1.7 |
| Ballymoney | 12.1 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 12.5 | -0.4 | -1.4 |
| Banbridge | 19.3 | 22.1 | 18.5 | 20.0 | -0.8 | -2.2 |
| Belfast | 119.3 | 127.6 | 120.3 | 123.0 | 0.9 | -4.7 |
| Carrickfergus | 17.3 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 16.6 | -1.1 | -2.3 |
| Castlereagh | 29.1 | 31.5 | 27.9 | 29.5 | -1.2 | -2.0 |
| Coleraine | 23.5 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| Cookstown | 13.5 | 15.8 | 13.1 | 14.2 | -0.5 | -1.6 |
| Craigavon | 39.1 | 46.6 | 36.5 | 40.8 | -2.6 | -5.8 |
| Derry | 41.4 | 45.2 | 41.2 | 43.0 | -0.2 | -2.1 |
| Down | 27.2 | 30.2 | 26.6 | 28.7 | -0.6 | -1.5 |
| Dungannon | 21.4 | 25.5 | 20.6 | 23.2 | -0.8 | -2.2 |
| Fermanagh | 24.2 | 26.6 | 23.3 | 24.8 | -0.9 | -1.8 |
| Larne | 13.6 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 13.9 | -0.2 | -0.6 |
| Limavady | 12.4 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 13.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
| Lisburn | 46.4 | 52.5 | 46.4 | 51.2 | 0.0 | -1.3 |
| Magherafelt | 15.9 | 18.2 | 15.2 | 16.6 | -0.7 | -1.6 |
| Moyle | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 6.9 | -0.2 | -0.5 |
| Newry and Mourne | 36.2 | 42.3 | 35.5 | 39.4 | -0.7 | -2.9 |
| Newtownabbey | 35.1 | 37.9 | 34.1 | 35.5 | -1.1 | -2.4 |
| North Down | 34.4 | 36.3 | 33.4 | 34.8 | -1.0 | -1.6 |
| Omagh | 19.5 | 21.9 | 18.7 | 20.0 | -0.8 | -1.9 |
| Strabane | 14.8 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 0.2 | -0.7 |
| Northern Ireland | 724.0 | 802.3 | 708.6 | 752.9 | -15.4 | -49.4 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The trend was based on the two-point exponential model. See Section 5 for further detail.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ These figures are illustrative only. Actual numbers from the 2011 Census show that 41,400 persons aged 15 and under lived in 2 -adult-1-child households with 38,100 males aged 16 and over, and 44,700 females aged 16 and over, giving ratios of 92 and 108 adults per 100 children for males and females respectively.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The 2008-based household projections are not available for the new 11 Local Government Districts.

[^3]:    Download Table (XLS Format - 23 KB)

